

Member's report on activities related to ICRI

Reporting period October 2013-September 2014

1. Updates on your activities.

Project 1

Cornerstone(s) implemented through the project	Check all that apply:⊠Integrated Management⊠Capacity Building⊠Science & Monitoring⊠Periodic Assessment (Review)	
Project Title	Red Sea & Gulf of Aden Strategic Ecosystem Management (GEF project)	
Location	Red Sea & Gulf of Aden	
Dates	Jan. 2014-Jan. 2018	
Main Organizer(s)	The Regional Organization for the Conservation of the Environment of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (PERSGA)	
Main Stakeholder(s)	The primary stakeholders of the project include those whose incomes are primarily dependent on the quality of the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden. This includes coastal communities and businesses in the Red Sea & Gulf of Aden states of Djibouti, Egypt, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, and Yemen. Primary stakeholders also include MPA administrations and fisheries administrations at the local level. Women's needs will receive special attention in ensuring participation in management of resources as well as alternative livelihood approaches, supported by the ongoing study on gender equity described in higher level objectives. Secondary stakeholders include those not living along the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden coastline, but still generate revenue from the marine environment, i.e. fish processors, boat repair, launch, storage facilities; ecotourism industries; etc. Tertiary stakeholders include those who benefit from development project generation and management, including academic institutions, Ministries, consultants, and non-governmental organizations	
The Project will contain four components, which are tailored to the most urgent country needs and preparedness at the local level, wit overarching and harmonizing regional component. Detailed description of the components follows:		
Description of Project (Please elaborate on how the project	Component 1 : Strengthening the principles of marine managed areas through stakeholder driven MPA implementation.	
implements the FFA cornerstones)	This component select TWO Marine Protected Areas as pilot sites to serve as Marine Managed Areas—to include zonation and multiple uses consistent with local community needs and benefits using a participatory, community-based process. Activities within this component include the provision of training and demonstrations necessary to implement, use and update marine areas management plans in cooperation with national implementing agencies in the	

cap app bas env bas imp	RSGA MPAs network. This component also focuses on improving the acity of member countries to share information and select the most propriate marine protected/managed area models (MPAs/MMAs) ed on current capacity and area(s) of comparative advantage and ironmental features. It will also train community members in rights ed MPA management to be able to effectively review, update and plement marine protection and management plans, and transition to ltiple use MMAs.
pilo Cor imp	estal sites in Sudan & Yemen have been identified and prepared as of sites with the required due diligence through a ESMF and PF. Accrete alternative livelihood pilot activities was determined during olementation by local communities based on feasibility, cost-benefit environmental and social considerations.
con	ceria for the site include a designated MPA area adjacent to munities that can support the MMA concept and engage in a rights ed approach.
Pla: com be i opp rep	ed on the finding of the SA and consultation, Community Action n will be prepared to address the needs related to the restrictions nmunity livelihood investments. Although these pilot activities will nitially carried out in two countries, they will provide training portunities to specialists from all PERSGA countries, as resentatives from all participating countries will be invited to the ning and implementation experiences at the pilot sites.
net hol con sus res	rough this Component, PERSGA can strengthen its regional MPA work and also support member countries in developing more istic, rights and ecosystem-based approaches whereby local munities can be empowered to balance resource use with tainability and help provide stronger stewardship of marine ources adjacent to them. All PERSGA member countries are invited participate in the training to the pilot communities.
Cor	nponent 1 will be implemented through the following activities:
4	Build the capacity of community stakeholders and institutions involved in MPAs in rights based MPA management and MMA zoning
	Update master plans with community and other stakeholder input and implement the master plans. This includes developing actionable incentives; incentives will be identified with communities based on the tools available in the countries, such as subsidies, tax breaks for fisheries incomes, licensing etc.; boundary demarcation and monitoring; building capacity for the implementation at the institutional level
	Develop a series of engagements/exchanges between MPA authority staff from one PERSGA member country to another. These will include lessons (both successes and challenges) that one jurisdiction can share with another. Strengths can be celebrated/shared and weaknesses can be examined for possible ways in which they may be improved based on exchange of information and knowledge
4	Develop education and public awareness materials that highlight the challenges and success of community-based management of MMAs.

Component 2: Strengthening coastal communities to use incentive based approaches to improve fisheries management and achieve other marine resource benefits
Component two will provide institutional and technical capacity needed for communities to use and protect living marine resources to increase net benefits derived from the resources in a sustainable manner. The capacity of community and user group will be built to understand trade-offs associated with development and the costs and benefits to the community, and to organize as user groups around these uses and their rights identified under component 1. They will also be trained in the monitoring of the uses and their impacts on the resources, particularly on fisheries to support informed decisions by communities. Through a community-driven process, the selected coastal communities will receive support to identify and develop sub- projects for alternative livelihood initiatives to reduce pressure on the resources and improve benefits to the communities and congruent with national priorities. Consultants or NGOs experienced in community driven fisheries and marine resource management will help facilitate community capacity building for MPA management engagement and development of sub-projects.
Potential subprojects could include, but are not limited to some mentioned during consultations:
Fish processing activities which involves the preparation of fish for direct consumption or for preservation. Traditional fish processing activities include gutting, washing the fish, splitting, filleting, sticking the fish and smoking
Designation of hiking trails/ camping sites for eco-tourism within the National Parks- this is relevant to site selection in critical habitats
Activity supporting recreational fishing/ snorkelling etc. for tourism purposes: through tent for gathering, jetty and boats
Structure for visitor centre built from natural materials
Workshop for women group for producing and selling artisanal products - establish structure from natural materials
Enabling tour guiding through licensing and training, potentially providing boats
Training and certifying community members as rangers
<u>Component 2 will be implemented through the following four main</u> <u>activities:</u>
Build capacity in communities to understand the current uses and resource benefits, and how these are distributed within the community, including establishing baseline of status of the marine environment;
Build capacity of user groups including fisheries cooperatives in monitoring their resource uses and impacts; establish a community monitoring manual and follow up
Support identification and planning of sustainable economic activities of marine resources and demonstrate small scale, low impact alternative livelihood sub-projects that are compliant with

	all environmental and social safeguards and upon which the community has reached consensus.
	Strengthen regional community participation through education and knowledge sharing through study tours, to share pilots on rights and responsibilities to improve community compliance and build ownership for resource protection and sustainability, as well as the implementation of monitoring, control and surveillance systems for fisheries;
Net	nponent 3 : Regional Environmental and Socioeconomic Monitoring work Supporting Ecosystem Based Management (EBM) and nmunity Benefits
star par the exp fish	s component will build on ongoing monitoring activities and help in adardizing parameters and monitoring approaches between the ticipating countries, making data comparable and sharable through strengthening of a regional network of MPAs. It will support the ansion of monitoring to include socio-economic data, especially for ery and MPA communities. Capacity building will also be engthened through workshops and knowledge exchanges.
mot with of P This mot reg mot MP com sele less the	cific sites selected by the National Steering Committees will be nitored during the project, which will include MPAs and MMAs hin the regional network and will be geared to enhance the capacity ERSGA and member countries in concert with their communities. Is presents an opportunity to leverage the latest knowledge and nitoring indicators in fisheries management to engage in supra- ional knowledge sharing on fisheries and marine resources nitoring. Monitoring of baseline data and other variables between As and the pilot MMAs under components 1&2 will allow for nparison between the outcomes of the project intervention in the ected sites versus no intervention. This will provide a blue print for ions learned from applying the EBM and rights based approach in Red Sea and Gulf of Aden which can be scaled up to the entire ional MPA network.
Act	ivities for Implementation of Component 3
	Use SAP I standard survey methods manual of 2004 and other relevant manuals as a basis for conducting a gap analysis of research and monitoring capacity in each country, review of current parameters applied to monitoring at the national and community levels.
	Conduct workshops between marine research and monitoring institutes on harmonization of research and monitoring parameters to establish regionally harmonized manual and implement harmonized monitoring through community members' and agencies' monitoring activities
	Organize a training workshop for scientists, research students, MMA managers and community leaders from the communities in collaboration with international bodies such as IUCN and the Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network (GCRMN); discuss lessons from the regional harmonization and the community pilots in these meetings and other outreach material
>	Supporting establishment of a standardized integrated database (of socioeconomic, ecological, biological, chemical and physical variables

r			
	Enhance collaboration with the countries for collection of available data from current monitoring activities for enriching a regional database of environmental ecosystem variables		
	Inter-calibration of monitoring methods and sharing experience among countries on specific topics of interest, focusing for example on specific monitoring needs at hot spots		
	Updated mapping of significant habitats and anthropogenic threats (including sensitivity mapping for oil spills and other pollution hazards and establishment of Before-After-Control- Impact (BACI) monitoring sites).		
	Outreach Concerning the Monitoring Component PERSGA has a role to play with public awareness by raising the profile of stakeholder engagement at the country and community level and how progress will be made over the course of the project. PERSGA will provide leadership at a high level in communicating successes and providing guidance to member governments and to continue to galvanize support for applying EBM principles elsewhere in the Region. Scientifically informed management is also crucial for a well informed public, and the monitoring component will also endeavour to reach the wider community.		
	Component 4: Project Management		
	This component is expected to support the GEF project with technical, administration, procurement, financial management, project monitoring and evaluation and environmental and social impact monitoring. This includes cost of training of PERSGA and at the local project management level in the administrative, environmental and social aspects mentioned.		
	The project outcome is to increase net benefits from use of marine resources through improved management of MPAs including resource protection, incentive systems for communities and the harmonization of the knowledge base of marine resources between PERSGA member countries. The following are the expected outcomes of the project:		
	Member countries develop holistic, incentive ecosystem based system whereby local communities are empowered to balance resource use with sustainability.		
	 Provide stronger stewardship of adjacent marine resources through rights based approach to MPA management. 		
Outcome (Expected outcome)	 Coastal communities and MPA institutions have the technical capacity to actively protect marine resources and transition to Marine Managed Areas 		
	Strengthen PERSGA's regional MPA network		
	Management of fisheries and other living marine resources improved in the two pilot areas.		
	Pressure on marine resources reduced due to alternative income sources		
	 Countries enabled to conduct policy dialogue based on comparable data of status of marine environment 		
	 PERSGA strengthened in its role as regional integrator making data comparable and sharable through the strengthening of a 		

	regional network of MPAs.
>	
>	Net benefits increased for communities adjacent to the MPAs including incomes, fish abundance and employment
>	Selected pilot Marine Protected Areas identified under phase I have updated management plans are implemented with community involvement.
>	Marine environmental monitoring activities in PERSGA member states integrated and operational
>	Documented inter-regional exchanges of community stakeholders to share lessons, technical skills, training and knowledge in addressing living marine resource stewardship, protection and sustainable
1	The project builds on lessons learned and investment recommendations of phase I (SAP1 1999-2005). These include building on the Coastal Zone Management component by using coastal zone information to help regulate the exploitation of marine and other natural resources to support livelihoods (e.g. mapping of threats or areas appropriate for fisheries, tourism, and other sustainable economic development). SAP II explicitly builds on this lesson and strengthens the information generation and sharing aspect through regionally supported monitoring. It pilots a livelihoods approach and supports communities to organize around the management of MMAs, to understand benefit distribution of coastal marine resources and to prepare them for on-the-ground activities supporting alternative livelihoods approaches.
2 Lessons learned	The project will build on the work done in phase I by emphasizing the role of Marine Managed Areas (MMAs) — expanded marine spatial areas that include both marine resource protection and a gradient of sustainable-to-extractive uses. Marine zoning plays a large role in this process. The goal of phase II is to identify selected MPAs, currently with limited management capacity and adjacent to selected coastal communities that can be strengthened through community-based stewardship and rights based use of the MMA. Through pilot demonstration projects and effective monitoring, sustainable use and marine resource stewardship will highlight the role that MMAs can play for the entire Region by sustaining benefits while balancing conservation objectives. Adjacent to MPAs, communities are engaging in livelihood and commercial activities, including fisheries, tourism and other uses. The goal is to actively involve communities in the creation and management of MMAs. This community management be combined with supporting activities, such as alternative livelihoods and income generation, establishment of community- based fisheries organizations, stakeholder participation, training and inter-regional exchanges, knowledge sharing and learning, and conflict resolution, so that communities will gain an integrated view of the trade-offs and benefits to the community about sustainable use and conservation within a coastal area. Experiences from MMA area pilots will be documented and

	shared, which will further enhance the capacity of PERSGA and its member country representatives.
3	V I
4	SAP II is simplifying and streamlining the project's management by using one implementing agency of GEF funds (as opposed to three engaged during SAP 1). Project management lessons learned from Phase I of the SAP showed that financial arrangements were organized in such a manner that PERSGA performed satisfactorily in managing the whole processes for procurement and financial monitoring. This allowed the countries to concentrate on deliverables rather than financial and procurement processes. While this imposed a considerable burden on the PERSGA staff, it allowed the organization to build important capacity that has further increased and is sustained today. PERSGA is now able to manage operations and multi-country activities with sufficient capacity. Furthermore, adaptive management was used during Phase I implementation and revealed to be a useful and important Project management tool in Monitoring and Evaluation. The breadth, diversity and geographical spread of the activities tested the capacity of PERSGA to manage a regional program, which has by now gained significant experience.
5	Lessons from SAP I have also identified the ongoing (and in some cases significant) variation in capacity to prioritize and undertake essential environmental monitoring. It is clear that fisheries data for the region continues to be severely lacking. Even following the completion of the SAP, there remains a strong need to make a broader spectrum of data and information available to better conserve biodiversity and protect ecosystem processes and services. EBM is also scientifically informed management that considers the broad or holistic functioning of ecosystems and the services they provide to people. In that sense, the monitoring output can also contribute to an integrated plan of action for ecosystem management for the region. However, there is a balance needed between the capacity to undertake and sustain sufficiently robust monitoring activities, with generating monitoring information that is timely and useful to managers and decision makers, and to share this information with the public.

	Furthermore, local community stakeholders also have to benefit from the outcomes from such endeavors, so they can use the summary of findings to communicate awareness to their constituents and understand and support the management interventions needed that sustain benefits to them.
	6. The failure to standardize monitoring methods, activities and to actively share data sets continue to affect regional technical cohesion and inhibits the spread of 'best practice' and lessons learned. The monitoring of socio-economic factors is also an area that is in need of further development in the Region. For this reason a component dedicated to enhancing and integrating a range of environmental monitoring has been identified as a priority.
	7. A focus on community-based organization and rights to marine resources has largely been untested in the region previously, but elsewhere has clearly demonstrated significant positive effects on managing environmental resources locally, helping communities to more actively protect the benefits received from ecosystem services (e.g. fisheries and MMAs that support fisheries and tourism shown in places such as Fiji and Belize). SAP I was focused to a greater extent on developing rapport and building capacity by working through governments. While this remains a hallmark of PERSGA's mandate for the region, supporting community-based activities and ownership is an important step toward a more distributed and effective form of environmental management, and a sustainable future that can benefit all member states
Related websites (English preferred)	www.persga.org

2. Contribution to the ICRI Plan of Action and GM.

a. Engaging other sectors

[Insert text here]

b. Reef zoning for multiple use

Location where a zoning plan has been implemented			
Year when the zoning plan was implemented			
Is the zoning plan accepted by the local community?	□Yes	□No	
Did the zoning plan cause conflicts among stakeholders?	□Yes	□No	
Did the zoning plan resolve conflicts among stakeholders?	□Yes	□No	
Has there been effective enforcement for stakeholders to follow the	□Yes	□No	
zoning plan?			
Overall, how would you rate the success of the zoning plan?	□Very suc	ccessful	
		□Somewhat successful	
		□Not so successful	
	□Unsucce	ssful	

[Insert text here]

3. Publications.

Title (incl. author and date)	Website URL if available	Type of publication (Paper, report, etc.)

4. General Information.

Member type (Country / Organization):	PERSGA
Focal Point 1:	
Name:	Dr. Maher Abdel Aziz Amer
Title/Organization:	Regional coordinator of Biodiversity & MPAs
Email:	Maher.amer@persga.org
Focal Point 2:	
Name:	Dr. Ziad Abu Gharara
Title/Organization:	Secretary General
Email:	ziad@persga.org